

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING
PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S
MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
INJUNCTION**

Trial Date: October 2, 2017

1 Having considered all of the papers filed in connection with Plaintiff's Motion for
2 Preliminary Injunction (Dkt. No. 24), as well as the arguments presented by the parties at the
3 hearing on this motion, the Court finds the following:

- 4 • Plaintiff has failed to demonstrate a likelihood of success on the merits of its
5 patent and trade secret claims;
- 6 • Plaintiff has failed to demonstrate a likelihood of irreparable harm;
- 7 • The balance of the hardships favors denial of Plaintiff's motion;
- 8 • The public interest would best be served by a not issuing a preliminary injunction;
9 and
- 10 • Plaintiff has failed to carry its burden of establishing that it is entitled to the
11 provisional relief sought.

12 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction is
13 DENIED.

14
15 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16
17 Dated: _____, 2017

18 _____
19 HONORABLE WILLIAM ALSUP
20 United States District Court Judge
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28